

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America
v.
DAMON LAVELL SMITH, SR.

Defendant

Case No. 4:19-CR-997 SRC/PLC

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☒ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

- ☐ **A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator):** There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
- ☐ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
 - ☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
 - ☐ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
 - ☐ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
 - ☐ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
 - ☐ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
 - (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
 - (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
 - ☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
 - ☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
 - ☐ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

☐ **B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses):** There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:

- ☐ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
- ☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
- ☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
- ☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
- ☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

☐ **C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above**

- ☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. *(Part III need not be completed.)*

OR

- ☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- ☒ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
- ☒ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

- ☒ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
- ☒ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
- ☒ Prior criminal history
- ☒ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
- ☐ History of violence or use of weapons
- ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
- ☒ Lack of stable employment
- ☒ Lack of stable residence
- ☒ Lack of financially responsible sureties

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

- ☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
- ☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
- ☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
- ☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
- ☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
- ☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
- ☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
- ☒ Background information unknown or unverified
- ☒ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The Government charged Defendant with violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1) (felon in possession of a firearm). The Government moved for Defendant's detention on the grounds of: (1) nature and circumstances of "charged conduct"; (2) criminal history; and (3) flight risk.

The Court held a hearing. Defendant appeared with counsel. The Government appeared through an assistant United States Attorney. Prior to the hearing, the Court provided the parties with access to the Pretrial Services Report. Neither party sought to amend the Report. The Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. The Pretrial Services officer recommended detention.

At the hearing, the Government proffered the following in support of detention: At the time of his arrest, Defendant ran from police officers. Officers located packaged cocaine in Defendant's possession and a semi-automatic firearm with an extended magazine. Defendant has multiple warrants in Michigan. Defendant has been revoked and suspended during previous periods of supervision. Defendant claims to have an active passport for the Dominican Republic. The Government introduced an exhibit establishing Defendant's Michigan conviction for Home Invasion 1st degree. The exhibit documents that Defendant was convicted, placed on probation for two years and "assigned to youthful trainee status." The State of Michigan subsequently revoked Defendant's probation, revoked his "youthful trainee" status and sentenced him to a year in jail.

Defense counsel proffered the following: Defendant rents a residence with his wife and lives there. The home invasion noted in Defendant's criminal history occurred when Defendant was 19. He received probation.

CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: 02/04/2020



United States Magistrate Judge

DAMON LAVELL SMITH, SR.
4:19-CR-997 SRC/PLC

The Pretrial Services Report provides the following additional relevant information: Defendant is 28 years old and reports he was born in the Dominican Republic. His mother states he was born in St. Louis and has never left the United States. Defendant has 5 children who reside in St. Louis and Michigan. Defendant currently works at Olive Garden as a cook and has worked in several other St. Louis restaurants. Defendant has several felony convictions including a home invasion committed in Michigan. Defendant is currently on probation and was apparently arrested on this case following an arrest on a probation warrant. While under supervision, Defendant was violated for arrests that included Assault 1st, armed criminal action, stealing firearm, trespassing, domestic assault and harassment. Defendant also failed to complete numerous special conditions of his probation including failing to report, failing to reside at his approved home plan and failure to be placed on electronic monitoring. Defendant has an extensive arrest history in Michigan and Missouri.

Based on the proffers of the parties, the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report and the Pretrial Services officer's recommendation, the Court concludes that the Government proved by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant is a threat to the community and a flight risk and no conditions or combination of conditions will assure his appearance or the safety of the community. Accordingly, the Court grants the Government's motion for pretrial detention.